

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

BANDWIDTH UPDATING METHOD AND BANDWIDTH UPDATING
APPARATUS

5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention generally relates to bandwidth updating methods and bandwidth updating apparatuses and, more particularly, to a bandwidth updating method and a bandwidth updating apparatus for a communications system of time-division multiple access type in which a plurality of subscriber apparatuses are connected to a single transmission channel.

15 2. Description of the Related Art

One example of a communications system of a time-division multiple access type in which a plurality of subscriber apparatuses are connected to a single transmission channel is an Asynchronous Transfer Mode-Passive Optical Network (ATM-PON) system described in the ITU-T Recommendation G.983.1. Fig. 7 shows a construction of an ATM-PON system. Referring to Fig. 7, the system comprises a station apparatus 1 provided at a station, subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N provided at the subscribers' end and capable of bidirectional communication with the station apparatus 1, a transmission channel 3 shared by the station apparatus and the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N, a transmission enable information generating

function 11, a downstream signal generating function 12, a physical interface function 13, a upstream signal terminating function 14, and a bandwidth control function 15.

5 Downstream communication from the station apparatus 1 to the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N is broadcast of the same data to the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N. Each of the subscriber apparatus retrieves data destined for
10 the retrieving apparatus from the received data. In upstream communication from the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N to the station apparatus 1, the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N are allowed to transmit upstream signals only in those time slots
15 allocated by the station apparatus 1 to the transmitting apparatus. Transmission enable information designating the time slots in which the upstream signal transmission is enabled is generated by the transmission enable information
20 generating function 11 and multiplexed by the downstream signal generating function 12. The size per unit time designated by the transmission enable information provided to the subscriber apparatus is based on a bandwidth allocated to the subscriber
25 apparatus in accordance with a communications service agreement. The bandwidth control function 15 calculates the size per unit time designated by the transmission enable information transmitted to the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N and sets the
30 size in the transmission enable information

generating function 11, in accordance with the number of connections accommodated in the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N and variation in capacity required in the connections.

5 In the bandwidth updating system according to the related art, the transmission enable information should be given to the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N so as to ensure that the bandwidth adapted for a peak cell rate
10 (PCR) is available even when a variable bit rate connection such as a VBR (variable bit rate) connection and a UBR (unspecified bit rate) connection is set up. Since a fixed bandwidth is allocated to each of a plurality of subscriber
15 apparatuses, the bandwidth allocated to a unit subscriber is small. Another disadvantage is that, since the fixed bandwidth continues to be allocated even when the traffic is small with respect to the allocated bandwidth, the efficiency of bandwidth
20 usage is relatively low.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Accordingly, a general object of the present invention is to provide a bandwidth
25 updating method and a bandwidth updating apparatus in which the aforementioned disadvantages are eliminated.

Another and more specific object is to provide a bandwidth updating method and a bandwidth
30 updating apparatus in which an upstream bandwidth

is dynamically, instead of statically, allocated to a subscriber in accordance with the subscriber traffic, so that the bandwidth is efficiently used.

The aforementioned objects can be

5 achieved by a dynamic bandwidth updating method for a communications system in which a plurality of subscriber apparatuses and a station apparatus are connected to the same transmission channel for bidirectional communication, for dynamically

10 updating a bandwidth allocated in a direction of upstream transmission from the subscriber apparatuses to the station apparatus, comprising the steps of: calculating a bandwidth usage rate from a bandwidth allocated in a bandwidth updating

15 period and a bandwidth actually used in the bandwidth updating period; and determining a bandwidth to be allocated in a subsequent bandwidth updating period based on the bandwidth usage rate.

The aforementioned objects can also be

20 achieved by a bandwidth updating method for a communications system in which a plurality of subscriber apparatuses, each connected to respective subscriber terminal apparatuses, and a station apparatus are connected to the same

25 transmission channel for bidirectional communication, for dynamically updating a bandwidth allocated in a direction of upstream transmission from the subscriber terminal apparatuses to the station apparatus via the subscriber apparatuses,

30 comprising the steps of: calculating a bandwidth

usage rate from a bandwidth allocated in a
bandwidth updating period and a bandwidth actually
used in the bandwidth updating period; and
determining a bandwidth to be allocated in a
5 subsequent bandwidth updating period based on the
bandwidth usage rate.

According to these aspects of the
invention, the station apparatus is capable of
allocating a bandwidth required by the subscriber
10 apparatus or the subscriber terminal apparatus so
that the bandwidth is efficiently allocated.

The allocation of bandwidth may involve
ensuring that a minimum guaranteed bandwidth
guaranteeing a minimum level of communication is
15 allocated to the subscriber apparatus, and
determining a surplus bandwidth which is a result
of subtraction of the minimum guaranteed bandwidth
from an allocated bandwidth.

The allocation of bandwidth may involve
20 ensuring that a minimum guaranteed bandwidth
guaranteeing a minimum level of communication is
allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus, and
determining a surplus bandwidth which is a result
of subtraction of the minimum guaranteed bandwidth
25 from an allocated bandwidth.

According to these aspects of the
invention, communication is prevented from being
disabled by successfully avoiding a zero-bandwidth
status.

30 The bandwidth updating method may

further comprise the steps of: calculating in the subscriber apparatus a requested surplus bandwidth requested of the station apparatus; and determining in the station apparatus the surplus bandwidth
5 based on the requested surplus bandwidth, so as to determine the bandwidth to be allocated.

The bandwidth updating method may further comprise the steps of: calculating in the subscriber terminal apparatus a requested surplus
10 bandwidth requested of the station apparatus; and determining in the station apparatus the surplus bandwidth based on the requested surplus bandwidth, so as to determine the bandwidth to be allocated.

According to these aspects of the
15 invention, requests from the subscriber apparatuses or the subscriber terminal apparatuses are processed in an integrated manner in determining the bandwidth to be allocated.

The surplus bandwidth is calculated
20 using a first upper threshold value for determination that there is a bandwidth shortage when an allocated bandwidth is equal to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, a second upper threshold value for determination that there is a bandwidth
25 shortage when the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth and a lower threshold value for determination that there is an excessive bandwidth when the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth.

30 According to this aspect of the

invention, the status of bandwidth usage is properly determined when the traffic through the subscriber apparatus or the subscriber terminal apparatus is below the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, preventing the bandwidth from being unnecessarily built up so that the bandwidth is efficiently used.

When it is determined, in a case in which the allocated bandwidth is equal to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, that the bandwidth usage rate exceeds the first upper threshold value or when it is determined, in a case in which the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, that the bandwidth usage rate exceeds the second threshold value, the surplus bandwidth may be calculated such that a maximum bandwidth set up for the subscriber apparatus is allocated to the subscriber apparatus in the subsequent bandwidth updating period.

When it is determined, in a case in which the allocated bandwidth is equal to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, that the bandwidth usage rate exceeds the first upper threshold value or when it is determined, in a case in which the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, that the bandwidth usage rate exceeds the second threshold value, the surplus bandwidth may be calculated such that a maximum bandwidth set up for the subscriber terminal apparatus is allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus in the subsequent bandwidth updating

period.

According to these aspects of the invention, bandwidth updating adapted for variation in traffic condition in the subscriber apparatus or the subscriber terminal apparatus is possible so that the possibilities of data delay are reduced.

When it is determined, in a case in which the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, that the bandwidth usage rate exceeds the lower threshold value but does not exceed the second upper threshold value, the surplus bandwidth may be calculated such that a bandwidth currently allocated to the subscriber apparatus continues to be allocated to the subscriber apparatus in the subsequent bandwidth updating period.

When it is determined, in a case in which the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, that the bandwidth usage rate exceeds the lower threshold value but does not exceed the second upper threshold value, the surplus bandwidth may be calculated such that a bandwidth currently allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus continues to be allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus in the subsequent bandwidth updating period.

According to these aspects of the invention, it is ensured that the bandwidth allocated in the subsequent bandwidth updating period does not exceed the second upper threshold

value so that unnecessary bandwidth allocation is avoided and the bandwidth is efficiently used.

When it is determined, in a case in which the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, that the bandwidth usage rate does not exceed the lower threshold value, the surplus bandwidth may be calculated such that the bandwidth, actually used in the bandwidth updating period for determination of the surplus bandwidth, is at a level in the middle of the second upper threshold value and the lower threshold value for the bandwidth allocated in the subsequent bandwidth updating period.

According to this aspect of the invention, it is ensured that the bandwidth allocated in the subsequent bandwidth updating period does not exceed the second upper threshold value so that unnecessary bandwidth allocation is avoided and the bandwidth is efficiently used.

The requested surplus bandwidth of a negative value may be rounded up to 0

According to this aspect of the invention, it is ensured that the minimum guaranteed bandwidth is allocated.

The surplus bandwidth may be calculated by weighting a dynamically allocatable bandwidth, a difference between a maximum bandwidth and the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, by the requested surplus bandwidth and a parameter that serves as a reference for a charge incurred.

According to this aspect of the invention, fair bandwidth allocation, which allows for both the requested surplus bandwidth and the parameter that serves as a reference for communications charge incurred, is possible.

A bandwidth allocated to the subscriber apparatus may not exceed a maximum bandwidth set up for the subscriber apparatus.

According to this aspect of the invention, allocation of an unnecessary bandwidth is avoided so that the bandwidth is allocated efficiently.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Other objects and further features of the present invention will be apparent from the following detailed description when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

Fig. 1 is a block diagram showing a construction of a communications system according to an embodiment of the present invention;

Fig. 2 is an illustration of a bandwidth updating operation according to the invention;

Fig. 3 is another illustration of a bandwidth updating operation according to the invention;

Fig. 4 is a flowchart illustrating an aspect of the operation according to the invention;

Fig. 5 is a flowchart illustrating

another aspect of the operation according to the invention;

Fig. 6 is a flowchart illustrating still another aspect of the operation according to the invention; and

Fig. 7 is a block diagram showing a construction of a communications system according to the related art.

10 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Fig. 1 shows a construction of a communications system of time-division multiple access type according to an embodiment of the present invention. Referring to Fig. 1, the system comprises a station apparatus 1, subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N, and a transmission channel 3 shared by the station apparatus 1 and the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N. Each of the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N is connected to a subscriber terminal apparatus (not shown) such as a private branch exchange (PBX), a router and a personal computer. For each bandwidth updating period, a bandwidth control function 15 determines an upstream bandwidth allocated to the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N and sets related information in a transmission enable information generating function 11. The transmission enable information generating function 11 generates transmission enable information based on the related information thus set therein. A downstream signal generating

function 12 multiplexes downstream data and the transmission enable information. A physical interface function 13 transmits a downstream signal over the transmission channel. A physical interface function 13 also receives an upstream signal from the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N and delivers the signal to an upstream signal terminating function 14. An upstream signal monitoring function 16 monitors the upstream signal and calculates the number of slots used by each of the subscriber apparatuses 2-1 - 2-N. The bandwidth control function 15 calculates a bandwidth usage rate based on the number of allocated upstream slots and the number of slots actually used and reported from the upstream signal monitoring function 15, so as to determine a bandwidth to be allocated for a subsequent bandwidth updating period.

A description will now be given of bandwidth updating implemented by the bandwidth control function 15. A minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$ and a maximum bandwidth $BW_{max}(i)$ are determined for each subscriber terminal apparatus $i(i=1,2,\dots,M)$. The number M of subscriber terminal apparatuses and the number N of subscriber apparatuses need not be the same. That is, a single subscriber apparatus may accommodate a plurality of subscriber terminal apparatuses so that the bandwidth is individually updated for each of the subscriber terminal apparatuses. Alternatively, the bandwidth may be updated in unit of a connection

group. For example, the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$ may be a total of a peak cell rate (PCR) sum $\sum CBR_PCR$ of constant bit rate (CBR) connections provided to the subscriber terminal apparatus i and a sustainable cell rate (SCR) sum $\sum VBR_SCR$ of variable bit rate (VBR) connections provided to the subscriber terminal apparatus i . The maximum bandwidth $BW_{max}(i)$ may be determined by the provisions regarding the charge incurred.

As shown in Fig. 2, given that a physical limit of bandwidth carried over a transmission channel is indicated by BW_{limit} and a sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$ for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses is indicated by $\sum BW_{min}$, a dynamically allocatable bandwidth BW_{dba} is given by expression (1) below.

$$BW_{dba} = BW_{limit} - \sum BW_{min} \dots (1)$$

The minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$ is guaranteed to each of the subscriber terminal apparatuses irrespective of the traffic condition. The bandwidth $BW(i, t)$ allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus i at time t is determined by adding a surplus bandwidth $BW_{sup}(i, t)$ to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$. Therefore, expression (2) below is satisfied.

$$BW(i, t) = BW_{min}(i) + BW_{sup}(i, t) \dots (2)$$

The surplus bandwidth $BW_{sup}(i,t)$ is determined by dividing the dynamically allocatable bandwidth BW_{dba} by the number of subscriber terminal apparatuses. Given the sum of the surplus bandwidth $BW_{sup}(i,t)$ at time t for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses is $sum_BW_sup(t)$, the following expression (3) is satisfied.

$$10 \quad BW_{dba} \geq sum_BW_sup(t) \dots (3)$$

As shown in Fig. 3, the invention may be extended to a configuration in which the subscriber terminal apparatuses are divided into a total of L groups so that the bandwidth is shared within each of the groups. In this case, the maximum bandwidth for each group is fixed. Given that the maximum bandwidth allocated to group j ($j=1,2,\dots,L$) is $BW_limit(j)$ and a sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_min(j,i)$ of the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses belonging to group j is indicated by $sum_BW_min(j)$, a bandwidth $BW_dba(j)$ that may be dynamically allocated in group j is given by expression (4) below.

$$25 \quad BW_dba(j) = BW_limit(j) - sum_BW_min(j) \dots (4)$$

In order to determine whether the bandwidth allocated to each subscriber terminal apparatus is appropriate for the traffic condition, comparisons

30

are made between the bandwidth usage rate and threshold values. More specifically, the bandwidth usage rate is compared with a first upper threshold value T_{min} used as a target of comparison when the bandwidth $BW(i,t)$ allocated at time t to the subscriber terminal apparatus is equal to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$, a second upper threshold value T_{up} used when the bandwidth $BW(i,t)$ allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus at time t is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$, and a third lower threshold value T_{down} used when the bandwidth allocated at time t to the subscriber terminal apparatus is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(i)$. For example, when the ATM communications system is used, the minimum guaranteed bandwidth is a total of a PCR sum sum_CBR_PCR of CBR connections provided to the subscriber terminal apparatus and a SCR sum sum_VBR_SCR of VBR connections provided to the subscriber terminal apparatus. Accordingly, T_{min} should be set to at least satisfy the following condition.

$$T_{min} > (sum_CBR_PCR) / (sum_CBR_PCR + sum_VBR_SCR) \dots (5)$$

In a CBR connection, there is always a traffic at the PCR. For this reason, unless expression (5) is satisfied, a determination that there is a bandwidth shortage is yielded even when there is no

traffic of a VBR connection. This results in a bandwidth larger than is necessary being provided to a subscriber terminal apparatus, preventing the other subscriber terminal apparatuses from being allocated a requested bandwidth. When the allocated bandwidth $BW(i,t)$ is larger than $BW_min(i)$, that is, when the traffic of a VBR connection is larger than that of SCR, the CBR connection occupies a relatively small portion of the bandwidth usage rate. In this case, T_up may be made smaller than T_min so that the capability of tracking variation in traffic may be improved.

Fig. 4 is a flowchart schematically showing a bandwidth updating procedure executed by the bandwidth control function 15 for each bandwidth updating period. It is assumed that the subscriber terminal apparatuses are divided into a total of L groups, wherein group j ($j=1,2,...,L$) accommodates a total of $M(j)$ subscriber terminal apparatuses. In step 100, a group counter is initialized. In step 200, a group counter is incremented. In step 300 for calculation of a requested surplus bandwidth, a requested surplus bandwidth $BW_req(j,i,t)$, requested by the subscriber terminal apparatus i accommodated in group j to be added to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, is calculated. In step 400 for calculation of an bandwidth to be allocated, a comparison is made between a sum of the requested

surplus bandwidth $BW_req(j,i,t)$ requested by the entirety subscriber terminal apparatuses in each group and the dynamically allocatable bandwidth $BW_dba(j)$, so as to calculate the bandwidth $BW(j,i,t)$ to be allocated at time t . In step 500, a determination is made as to whether the calculation is complete for the entirety groups. If it is determined that there are any groups not yet processed, control is returned to step 200. If it is determined that the calculation is complete for the entirety groups, the bandwidth updating procedure is terminated.

In step 300 of Fig. 4 for calculation of the requested surplus bandwidth, the requested surplus bandwidth $BW_req(j,i,t)$ for a subsequent bandwidth updating period is calculated for each of the subscriber terminal apparatuses, based on a relation between the bandwidth usage rate and the threshold value. Given that the bandwidth allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus i at time t_{n-1} is indicated by $BW(j,i,t_{n-1})$ and the bandwidth used by the subscriber terminal apparatus i between period between time t_{n-1} and time t_n is indicated by $BW_used(j,i,t_n)$, the bandwidth usage rate $Urate(j,i,t_n)$ for a period between time t_{n-1} and time t_n satisfies the following equation.

$$Urate(j,i,t_n) = BW_used(j,i,t_n) / BW(j,i,t_{n-1}) \dots (6)$$

where $BW_used(j,i,t_n)$ indicates the number of slots

used in a period between time t_{n-1} and time t_n determined by the upstream bandwidth monitoring function 15, and $BW(j,i,t_{n-1})$ indicates the number of slots allocated in a period between time t_{n-1} and t_n .

When the bandwidth $BW(j,i,t_{n-1})$ allocated at time t_{n-1} is equal to $BW_min(j,i)$, the upper threshold T_min , used for comparison with the bandwidth usage rate when the minimum guaranteed bandwidth is initially used, is compared with the bandwidth usage rate $Urate(j,i,t_n)$. When the bandwidth usage rate exceeds T_min , it indicates that the subscriber terminal apparatus started to receive input of burst traffic. The required magnitude of bandwidth is determined by examining the usage rate with respect to the allocated bandwidth. In a case in which the bandwidth usage rate exceeds T_min , the requested surplus bandwidth is determined such that the maximum bandwidth is available in the subsequent period. In this way, the bandwidth is efficiently updated so as to be adapted for the input of burst traffic. More specifically, the requested surplus bandwidth $BW_req(j,i,t_n)$ is calculated as per expression (7) below.

$$BW_req(j,i,t_n) = BW_max(j,i) - BW_min(j,i) \dots (7)$$

When the bandwidth $BW(j,i,t_{n-1})$ allocated at time t_{n-1} is larger than $BW_min(j,i)$, the bandwidth usage

rate is compared with the upper threshold value T_{up} and the lower threshold value T_{down} . When the bandwidth usage rate exceeds T_{up} , it indicates that the subscriber terminal apparatus started to receive input of burst traffic. Since the required magnitude of bandwidth is determined by comparing the usage rate with respect to the allocated bandwidth, the requested surplus bandwidth is determined such that the maximum bandwidth is available in the subsequent period. In this way, the bandwidth is efficiently updated so as to be adapted for the input of burst traffic. More specifically, the requested surplus bandwidth is calculated as per expression (7).

When the bandwidth usage rate does not exceed T_{up} but exceeds T_{down} , it is determined that the bandwidth required by the subscriber terminal apparatus matches the bandwidth allocated thereto. The requested surplus bandwidth for a subsequent bandwidth updating period is determined to be identical to the current bandwidth. More specifically, the requested surplus bandwidth is calculated as per expression (8) below.

$$BW_{req}(j,i,t_n) = BW(j,i,t_{n-1}) - BW_{min}(j,i) \dots (8)$$

When the bandwidth usage rate is below T_{down} , it is determined that the allocated bandwidth is in excess of the bandwidth required by the subscriber terminal apparatus. The requested surplus bandwidth

is determined such that the bandwidth
 BW_used(j,i,t_n) actually used is at a level in the
 middle of the upper threshold value T_{up} and the
 lower threshold value T_{down} for the bandwidth made
 5 available in the subsequent bandwidth updating
 period. Accordingly, the optimum bandwidth is
 allocated efficiently. More specifically, the
 requested surplus bandwidth BW_req(j,i,t_n) is
 calculated as per expression (9).

10

$$BW_req(j,i,t_n) = BW_used(j,i,t_n) \times 2 / (T_up + T_down) - BW_min(j,i) \dots (9)$$

It is of course to be noted that the minimum
 15 guaranteed bandwidth is provided. Accordingly, when
 BW_req(j,i,t_n) < 0, BW_req(j,i,t_n) is rounded up to 0.

In step 400 for calculation of the
 bandwidth to be allocated, a sum sum_BW_req(j,t_n)
 of the requested surplus bandwidth BW_req(j,i,t_n)
 20 of the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses
 belonging to group j is compared with the
 dynamically allocatable bandwidth BW_dba(j). If it
 is determined that sum_BW_req(j,t_n) is below
 BW_dba(j), bandwidth allocation in compliance with
 25 requests from the subscriber terminal apparatuses
 is enabled. In this case, the surplus bandwidth
 BW_sup(j,i,t_n) added to the minimum guaranteed
 bandwidth is equal to the requested surplus
 bandwidth BW_req(j,i,t_n). If sum_BW_req(j,t_n)
 30 exceeds BW_dba(j), bandwidth allocation in

compliance with requests from the subscriber terminal apparatuses is impossible. The dynamically allocatable bandwidth is allocated and the surplus bandwidth $BW_sup(j,i,t_n)$ is calculated such that

5 the dynamically allocatable bandwidth is weighted by the requested surplus bandwidth and a parameter $Weight(i,j)$ that serves as a reference for the charge incurred by the subscriber terminal apparatus i . More specifically, given that a sum of

10 products of the requested surplus bandwidth $BW_req(j,i,t_n)$, which is not 0, of the subscriber terminal apparatuses belonging to group j , and the reference parameter $Weight(j,i)$ is indicated by $sum_BW_req_weight(j,t_n)$, the surplus bandwidth is

15 calculated as per expression (10) below.

$$BW_sup(j,i,t_n) = BW_dba(j) \times \{BW_req(j,i,t_n) \times Weight(j,i)\} / sum_BW_req_weight(j,t_n) \dots (10)$$

20 By weighting the dynamically allocatable bandwidth by the requested surplus bandwidth and the parameter that serves as a reference for the charge incurred, allocation of bandwidth in excess of that actually required or allocation of bandwidth not

25 consistent with the charge is avoided. Since the allocated bandwidth $BW(j,i,t_n)$ should not exceed the maximum bandwidth $BW_max(j,i)$, if the surplus bandwidth determined as per expression (10) satisfies

$BW_sup(j,i,t_n) > BW_max(j,i) - BW_min(j,i),$

then the surplus bandwidth should be controlled so that

5

$BW_sup(j,i,t_n) = BW_max(j,i) - BW_min(j,i) \dots (11)$

After calculating the surplus bandwidth

$BW_sup(j,i,t_n)$ of the subscriber terminal apparatus

10 i , expression (2) is used to calculate the bandwidth $BW(j,i,t_n)$ at time t .

A detailed description of step 300 of Fig. 4 for calculating the requested surplus bandwidth will be given with reference to the flowchart of Fig. 5. In step 301, the subscriber counter is initialized. In step 302, the subscriber counter is incremented. In step 303, the requested surplus bandwidth $BW_req(j,i,t_n)$ is initialized at 0. In step 304, the bandwidth usage rate

15 $Urate(j,i,t_n)$ is calculated in step 304 based on the number of slots $BW(j,i,t_{n-1})$ allocated at time t_{n-1} and the number of used slots $BW_used(j,i,t_n)$ determined by the upstream monitoring function 15.

In step 305, a determination is made as to whether the number of slots $BW(j,i,t_{n-1})$ allocated at time t_{n-1} is larger than the number of minimum guaranteed slots $BW_min(j,i)$. If an affirmative answer is yielded in step 305, control is turned to step 306, where the bandwidth usage

25 rate is compared with the threshold value T_min

30

used for comparison when the allocated bandwidth is equal to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth. If the bandwidth usage rate is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, it is determined that there is a shortage of bandwidth so that control is turned to step 307. In step 307, the requested surplus bandwidth is calculated so that the maximum bandwidth set up for the subscriber terminal apparatus is allocated thereto in the subsequent bandwidth updating period. If a negative answer is yielded in step 305, that is, if the allocated bandwidth is larger than the minimum guaranteed bandwidth, control is turned to step 308.

In step 308, a determination is made as to whether the bandwidth usage rate $Urate(j,i,t_n)$ is larger than the upper threshold value T_{up} . If an affirmative answer is yielded it is determined that there is a bandwidth shortage so that control is turned to step 309, where the requested surplus bandwidth $BW_req(j,i,t_n)$ is calculated as per expression (7). If a negative answer is yielded in step 308, control is turned to step 310, where a determination is made as to whether the bandwidth usage rate exceeds the lower threshold value T_{down} . If an affirmative answer is yielded in step 310, a determination is made that the allocated bandwidth balances the used bandwidth. The requested surplus bandwidth is calculated as per expression (8) so that the same bandwidth currently allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus continues to be

allocated thereto in the subsequent bandwidth updating period. If a negative answer is yielded in step 308, a determination is made that the excessive bandwidth is allocated so that control is
 5 turned to step 312.

In step 312, the requested surplus bandwidth is calculated as per expression (9) so that the actually used band width is at a level in the middle of the upper threshold value T_{up} and
 10 the lower threshold value T_{down} for the bandwidth made available in the subsequent bandwidth updating period. In step 313, a determination is made as to whether the bandwidth $BW_{req}(j,i,t_n)$ requested by the subscriber terminal apparatus in the subsequent
 15 bandwidth updating period t_n is larger than 0. If a negative answer is yielded in step 313, control is turned to step 314, where $BW_{req}(j,i,t_n)$ is initialized to 0. If an affirmative answer is yielded in step 313, step 314 is skipped.

20 In step 315, a determination is made as to whether the calculation of bandwidth $BW_{req}(j,i,t_n)$ to be added to the minimum guaranteed bandwidth is complete for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses of group j . If the
 25 calculation is not complete for any subscriber terminal apparatuses, control is turned to step 302. When calculation is complete for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses, the bandwidth updating procedure is terminated.

30 A detailed description will now be given

of the calculation in step 400 of Fig. 4 of the bandwidth $BW(j,i,t_n)$ allocated to the subscriber terminal apparatus at time t_n , with reference to the flowchart of Fig. 6. In step 401, a

5 determination is made as to whether a sum of surplus time slots requested by the subscriber terminal apparatus exceeds the number of dynamically allocatable time slots. If an affirmative answer is yielded in step 401, control

10 is turned to step 402.

In step 402, the subscriber counter is initialized. In step 403, the subscriber counter is incremented. In step 404, a determination is made as to whether the requested surplus bandwidth

15 $BW_req(j,i,t_n)$ is 0. If a negative answer is yielded, control is turned to step 405, where the dynamically allocatable bandwidth $BW_dba(j)$ is divided between the subscriber terminal apparatuses requesting the surplus bandwidth, by weighting the

20 dynamically allocatable bandwidth by the requested surplus bandwidth and the parameter $Weight(j,i)$ (for example, the minimum guaranteed bandwidth) that serves as a reference for the charge incurred. If an affirmative answer is yielded in step 404,

25 control is turned to step 406, where the surplus bandwidth $BW_sup(j,i,t_n)$ is determined as 0.

In step 407, a determination is made as to whether a sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_min(j,i)$ and the surplus bandwidth

30 $BW_sup(j,i,t_n)$ exceeds the maximum bandwidth

BW_max(j,i). If an affirmative answer is yielded, control is turned to step 408, where the bandwidth to be allocated in the subsequent bandwidth updating period is determined as the maximum

5 bandwidth. If a negative answer is yielded in step 407, step 408 is skipped. In step 409, a determination is made as to whether the calculation of the allocated bandwidth $BW(j,i,t_n)$ is complete for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses of

10 group j. If the calculation is not complete for any subscriber terminal apparatuses, control is turned to step 403. If the calculation is complete for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses, control is turned to step 404.

15 If a negative answer is yielded in step 401, control is turned to step 410, where the subscriber counter is initialized. In step 411, the subscriber counter is incremented. In step 412, the requested surplus bandwidth $BW_{req}(j,i,t_n)$ is

20 designated as the surplus bandwidth $BW_{sup}(j,i,t_n)$. In step 413, a determination is made as to whether the calculation is complete for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses of group j. When it is determined that the calculation is not complete

25 for any of the subscriber terminal apparatuses, control is turned to step 411. When the calculation is complete for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses, control is turned to step 414.

In step 414, the subscriber counter is

30 initialized. In step 415, the subscriber counter is

incremented. In step 416, as shown in expression (2), the bandwidth $BW(j,i,t_n)$ to be allocated for the subsequent bandwidth updating period is determined as a sum of the minimum guaranteed bandwidth $BW_{min}(j,i)$ and the surplus bandwidth $BW_{sup}(j,i,t_n)$. In step 417, a determination is made as to whether the bandwidth to be allocated to the entire subscribers in group j has been calculated. When the calculation is not complete for any of the subscriber terminal apparatuses, control is returned to step 411. If the calculation is complete for the entire subscriber terminal apparatuses, the bandwidth updating procedure is terminated.

As has been described, the allocated time slots are updated in accordance with the status of usage by the subscriber terminal apparatuses of upstream time slots. Accordingly, the number of unused time slots is reduced so that the bandwidth is efficiently used.

The present invention is not limited to the above-described embodiments, and variations and modifications may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention.